UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,874	07/07/2004	Serge Haumont	60091.00329	1172
	7590 11/16/200 DERS & DEMPSEY I	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/500,874	HAUMONT, SERGE	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	GEORGE ENG	2617	
	_	<u>-</u>	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for I	Review filed 18 September 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request is imprope reason(s): 	r and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed con ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: 	a review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to ru the mail date of the last Office communication, if no	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been e there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the d upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2,4-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	· ,
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required be	
All participants:	
(1) <u>GEORGE ENG</u> .	(3) <u>WELLINGTON CHIN</u> .
(2) <u>CHRISTOPHER BRANDT</u> .	(4)
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	